

POLICY BRIEF

LAMU AND THE DANGOTE REFINERY: STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES, EXECUTION RISKS AND REGIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Abstract: When industrialist Aliko Dangote addressed the groundbreaking ceremony on September 30, 2026, for the 700,000 barrel per day (bpd) Dangote East Africa Petroleum Refinery and Petrochemicals Complex, he openly conceded that the multi-billion-dollar project began "actually like a joke" following an overture from the Kenyan President William Ruto regarding fertilizer supplies- admitting he had never heard of Lamu prior to May 2026. This strategic brief demystifies that unexpected genesis: first, explaining how an offhand commercial exchange transformed into perhaps most consequential downstream undertaking in modern African history; and second, analyzing why Lamu's deep-water geography and LAPSET corridor potential zoning bypassed established hubs like Mombasa and Tanga to host the mega-complex. We also look at the geopolitical ramifications of this venture, and what it means for the Eastern Africa Community.

Evaluating the operational baseline of Dangote's 650,000 bpd Lekki facility in Lagos, the analysis demonstrates how Lamu institutionalizes some of the hard-won battles and lessons from Nigerian counterparty friction, established cartels, substitution risks, and feed-gas disputes. By replacing a single-state partner with a 30% multilateral sovereign consortium (Kenya, Rwanda, Ethiopia, and South Sudan), the project seek to neutralize host-government hold-up risks and locks in regional demand. This brief decodes the emerging corridor war against the Uganda - Tanzania backed Southern refinery Axis-contrasting Dangote's capital-intensive petrochemical manufacturing model against the Vitol-EACOP trading and Lake Victoria barge network-and outlines the critical 2026-2031 execution variables (inland pipeline synchronization, fiscal cash-call compliance, coastal land litigation, and corridor security) required to ensure Lamu anchors East Africa's industrial transition rather than becoming a stranded coastal asset.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Architectural Comparative Matrix: Lekki (Lagos) vs. Lamu (Kenya)

The September 30, 2026 groundbreaking of the 700,000 barrel per day (bpd) Dangote East Africa Petroleum Refinery and Petrochemicals Complex in Lamu, Kenya, marks a structural transformation of sub-Saharan Africa's downstream hydrocarbons landscape¹. Capitalized between \$16 billion and \$20 billion, this megaproject represents the largest cross-border industrial manufacturing undertaking on the African continent². However, **its economic rationale, configuration, and risk profile cannot be understood in isolation**. The project is an operational iteration designed specifically to overcome some of the regulatory, logistical, and political challenges encountered during the development of Dangote Industries' 650,000 bpd complex in Lekki, Lagos¹. Yet, the project needs to also anticipate similar, or altogether – different challenges associated with the Easter Africa belt, amidst rising geopolitical competitive interests within the region. This Strategic Intelligence Brief unpacks the key aspects that must be considered for the successful implementation of this initiative – in the strict interest of Kenyan national sovereign aspirations and ambitions.



Figure 1: Construction of the Dangote East Africa Petroleum Refinery is Officially Launched in Lamu.

Key Messages

- Through working with regional governments as direct equity partners, the Lamu project aligns national fiscal interests with the economic success of the refinery. The partner states will be financially incentivized to dismantle cross-border non-tariff barriers, coordinate regional transit customs under East African Community (EAC) and Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA) protocols, and guarantee market access for Lamu's refined product slate within their domestic borders. This multilateral structure will guarantee a regional partnership focused on supply security and dividend returns.
- The maritime logistics of the Indian Ocean give Lamu a structural freight cost advantage over Atlantic Basin. This structural transport advantage gives Lamu a durable margin cushion against fluctuations in international crack spreads and is likely to offset the production limitations of East Africa's regional upstream crude basins.
- Lamu's primary geographical advantage is its natural deep-water marine access: Manda Bay features a sheltered, 18-meter natural draft harbor which allows the port to berth Suezmax vessels directly and accommodate partly laden VLCCs without extensive capital or maintenance dredging.
- To defend its historical transit role – and be assured of its supply chains within the Great Lakes region, Kenya must aggressively pursue a two-pronged counter-offensive to maintain its logistical edge: Fast-track the 127-Kilometer Eldoret-to-Malaba Pipeline Extension and Expand the Kisumu Oil Jetty.
- To prevent Lamu from becoming a stranded coastal asset, project developers and regional governments must manage several critical variables over the 2026-2031 construction timeline

1.2 Capital Structure and Sovereign Alignment

The IPG has carefully analysed the trend-setting Dangote Refinery in Lekki, Lagos – Nigeria to build a baseline that is likely guiding the philosophy around the Dangote East Africa Petroleum Complex in Lamu. Dangote has learned the grid in Lekki, he will simply move to execute this strategy in Lamu – and hence this is the best starting point. How was Lekki designed? What were the complexities? What about the operational elements and how were they surmounted? The operational trajectory of the Lekki Refinery in Nigeria provides a clear illustration of sovereign counterparty risk within a unitary petro-state⁵. Conceived to process domestic light sweet crude to serve an import-dependent domestic market, the Lekki project unfortunately became entangled in regulatory resistance, fiscal misalignment, and political disputes with Nigerian state institutions⁵.

A major challenge was securing national Nigerian interest in the project. The Nigerian National Petroleum Company (NNPC) initially negotiated a 20% equity acquisition for \$2.76 billion, intended to provide sovereign alignment and secure long-term domestic crude supply baseloads⁴.

However, *fiscal deficits, sovereign balance-sheet distress, and structural liabilities tied to resource-backed loans (RBLs)* forced NNPC to cap its cash contribution at \$1.036 billion⁴. This diluted its equity holding to approximately 7.25%⁴. Of course, this was not just structural, it was also deliberate as the fear of the dominance of this massive investment triggered panic within the entire industry – especially the beneficiaries of a broken system.

The resulting capital (and by extension the shareholding interest) shortfall strained commercial relations between the refinery and the state⁴. Operations were subsequently hindered by regulatory disputes with the Nigerian Midstream and Downstream Petroleum Regulatory Authority (NMDPRA)⁵. Friction centered on domestic crude supply allocations under the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA), the enforcement of fuel sulfur standards, and pushback from established fuel importers operating under legacy import-licensing arrangements⁵. It took massive demonstrations for more concessions on crude supply to be advanced to the refinery. Even public complaints on sulphur levels by major state regulators had to be countered through public sentiment, as many Nigerians felt that the regulator was only advancing ‘scorched-earth-strategy’ to debilitate the Dangote Refinery. Even establishing the “Crude-for-Naira” commercial settlement framework required direct executive intervention, illustrating the operational friction of dealing with a single, conflicted national petroleum corporation that functioned simultaneously as regulator, shareholder, and retail competitor⁵.

How would this compare to the Lamu facility? In contrast, the Lamu complex is targeting a multilateral sovereign consortium structure engineered to distribute geopolitical risk and align commercial interests across the region². Dangote Industries retains a 70% controlling equity interest, while a 30% equity pool is allocated to a consortium of regional governments². The Government of Kenya secured an initial 10% sovereign equity stake, backed by state seed capital and coordinated through a specialized inter-ministerial delivery unit led by the Deputy President².

Simultaneously, the Government of Rwanda committed to taking a 10% equity position, while formal negotiations advanced with the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia and the Republic of South Sudan to absorb the remaining shares². Commercial equity participation was further diversified by private African capital, including a \$100 million equity investment from Tanzanian industrialist Mohammed Dewji². Still, quite some resistance to the project by some major players within the Eastern Africa region is still alive – as will be demonstrated in this Strategic Intelligence Brief.

However, through converting regional governments into direct equity partners, the Lamu project aligns national fiscal interests with the economic success of the refinery. The partner states will be financially incentivized to dismantle cross-border non-tariff barriers, coordinate regional transit customs under East African Community (EAC) and Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA) protocols, and guarantee market access for Lamu’s refined product slate within their domestic borders². This multilateral structure shifts the sovereign dynamic from an adversarial relationship with a single national oil company (Nigeria’s example) to a regional partnership focused on supply security and dividend returns². Yet, this regional partnership needs to be cobbled together, as major fractures exist within it already.

1.3 Feedstock Architecture and Marine Geography

For the Dangote Refinery in Lekki, a major vulnerability was its operational design, which assumed reliable access to domestic crude (yet to be met)⁵. While Nigeria possesses nominal production capacity above 1.7 million bpd, NNPC’s net deliverable volume was severely curtailed by pipeline tapping, industrial bunkering in the Niger Delta, upstream joint-venture funding deficits, and sovereign forward-sale crude liabilities⁴. Billions of dollars in crude-for-cash swaps and RBL obligations - underwritten through international syndicates and institutions such as Afreximbank - effectively encumbered much of the state’s physical crude slate⁵. Consequently, Lekki was forced to purchase crude on international markets, importing millions of barrels of US West Texas Intermediate (WTI) Midland to sustain operational runs⁵. Sourcing Atlantic Basin crude in US dollars exposed a refinery serving a domestic market to severe foreign exchange volatility and international tanker spot rates⁵.

For the planned Refinery in Lamu, by contrast, to achieve the scale needed for a 700,000 bpd, it must be conceived from inception as an Indian Ocean seaborne merchant swing refinery². Even the complex needs to be configured to process bulk medium and heavy sour crude imports from the Persian Gulf, complemented by regional crudes when pipeline infrastructure allows². Of course, the maritime logistics of the Indian Ocean give Lamu a structural freight cost advantage over Atlantic Basin refineries importing Middle Eastern barrels:

Ras Tanura to Lamu (Indian Ocean Axis) - The nautical distance from the main Saudi crude loading terminal at Ras Tanura through the Strait of Hormuz directly down the East African coastline to Lamu is approximately 2,500 nautical miles¹². A standard Very Large Crude Carrier (VLCC) lifting 2 million barrels 270,000 metric tons at an average economic steaming speed of 13.5 knots completes this voyage in 7.5 to 8.5 sailing days.

Ras Tanura to Lagos (Atlantic Basin / Cape of Good Hope Axis)

- Transporting the same crude to the Gulf of Guinea requires rounding the southern tip of Africa¹². This voyage covers roughly 8,000 nautical miles, requiring 24 to 27 sailing days under standard sea conditions¹².

Assuming a fuel-efficient VLCC consuming 45 metric tons of Very Low Sulphur Fuel Oil (VLSFO) per day at sea (at an average bunker cost of \$600 to \$650 per metric ton), along with prevailing Time Charter Equivalent (TCE) tanker rates, the landed marine freight differential is substantial¹²:

$$\Delta C_{\text{freight}} = \$12.00 \text{ to } \$18.00 \text{ per metric ton}$$

On a per-barrel basis, this delivers an automatic cost advantage:

$$\Delta M \approx \$1.65 \text{ to } \$2.45 \text{ per barrel}$$

This structural transport advantage gives Lamu a durable margin cushion against fluctuations in international crack spreads. This maritime transport advantage also offsets the production limitations of East Africa's regional upstream crude basins². While the plant is designed to refine regional crude, indigenous supplies cannot support a 700,000-bpd refinery on their own¹:

Kenya's South Lokichar Basin (Blocks 10BB & 13T) - Production targets plateau between 20,000 and 50,000 bpd. While the crude has a light-medium API gravity (32° to 38°), it contains exceptionally high paraffin levels (pour point of 30 °C to 38 °C), requiring heated pipelines for transport¹.

Uganda's Lake Albert Basin (Tilenga & Kingfisher fields) - Peak output is projected at approximately 230,000 bpd¹⁶. The crude averages 30° to 33° API with a high pour point (40°C) and substantial wax content¹⁶. Furthermore, most of this output is commercially committed to TotalEnergies and CNOOC through the 1,443-kilometer East African Crude Oil Pipeline (EACOP) terminating at Tanga, Tanzania (now almost 80% complete)¹⁶.

The Republic of South Sudan (Melut and Muglad Basins) - Aggregate output has declined to between 120,000 and 140,000 bpd of Dar Blend (25°C API, highly viscous, waxy) and Nile Blend (34° API, low sulfur). These exports remain vulnerable to political instability and pipeline sabotage across the transit network to Port Sudan.

Even under very optimistic operating assumptions where every barrel from Kenya, Uganda, and South Sudan is diverted to Lamu, aggregate regional supply reaches roughly 420,000 bpd. Commercial commitments and export infrastructure will likely keep regional volumes below that theoretical ceiling¹⁶. In our views therefore, Lamu must operate as an import-refining swing facility by necessity². Its deep-water marine terminal enables baseload imports of Middle Eastern grades (such as Arab Light, Arab Medium, and Upper Zakum), while maintaining the processing flexibility to blend regional waxy crudes as pipeline connections and transport agreements develop².



Figure 2: The 650,000bpd Dangote refinery project in Nigeria is Africa's biggest refinery project to date.

1.4 Geotechnical and Civil Engineering

Another interesting comparator is on the engineering and technical challenges that impacted the Lekki plant, which the Lamu project may not. The contrasting project economics of Lekki and Lamu are rooted in their distinct coastal geographies and civil engineering requirements². The Lekki site on the Lagos coast required significant civil reclamation work². The location sat on low-lying mangrove swamp and intertidal marshes². Preparing the terrain required dredging and hydraulic filling of over 65 million cubic meters of marine sand to elevate the foundation above the water table². To support the heavy refining machinery - such as atmospheric crude distillation columns, hydrocrackers, and secondary conversion units - engineers had to drive more than 120,000 precast concrete friction piles deep into the deltaic subsoil. Furthermore, because the local coastal shelf was shallow, the developer had to build an entire private maritime logistics port from scratch. This required continuous capital dredging for product-loading berths, constructing breakwaters, and deploying offshore Single Point Mooring (SPM) networks to offload crude tankers². These complex civil works inflated capital expenditure, contributed to project delays, and added significant debt-carrying costs before commissioning².

In comparison, the Lamu development occupies pre-zoned, consolidated industrial acreage within the designated LAPSSET corridor at Manda Bay². The geotechnical substrate consists of natural coral rag and consolidated coastal sands, offering high bearing capacity with minimal need for mass piling or large-scale land reclamation². Lamu's primary geographical advantage is its natural deep-water marine access: Manda Bay features a sheltered, 18-meter natural draft harbor². This allows the port to berth Suezmax vessels directly and accommodate partly laden VLCCs without extensive capital or maintenance dredging².

Of course, a major opportunity lies in access to water – a key requirement. Process water is supplied via a 200,000 cubic meter per day industrial freshwater abstraction system from the Tana River discharge near Kipini¹⁹. The water is transported across a dedicated 65-kilometer utility corridor directly to the industrial complex, avoiding the high capital and power costs of large-scale seawater desalination facilities¹⁹.

Architectural Dimension	Lekki Refinery (Lagos, Nigeria)	Lamu Refinery (Lamu, Kenya)	Strategic and Economic Impact
Nameplate Refining Capacity	650,000 bpd ¹	700,000 bpd ¹	Lamu captures scale advantages across Indian Ocean trading routes ²
Total Capital Expenditure	~\$19.5B–\$20.5B (Final Outturn) ³	~\$16.0B–\$17.0B (Projected EPC Base) ²	Reduced site-preparation overhead limits initial capital intensity ²
Sovereign Equity Model	Unitary petro-state: Dangote (~92.8%), NNPC Limited (~7.2%) ⁴ .	Multilateral Consortium: Dangote (70%), Regional States (30% pool: Kenya 10%, Rwanda 10%, Ethiopia/South Sudan 10%) ² .	Lowens host-country political risk by aligning regional markets around cross-border equity stakes ² .
Feedstock Baseline	Domestic light sweet crude; supplemented by seaborne imports (e.g., US WTI Midland) ⁵ .	Middle East sour/medium swing imports, blended with waxy regional crudes ² .	Reduces vulnerability to domestic upstream production deficits through direct Indian Ocean supply chains ² .
Crude Delivery Route & Distance	Gulf of Guinea pipelines & offshore SPM; Ras Tanura imports require ~8,000 NM transit via Cape ¹²	Direct Indian Ocean maritime corridor; Ras Tanura to Lamu is ~2,500 NM (7.5–8.5 sailing days) ¹²	Delivers a \$12–\$18/MT freight savings and a \$1.65–\$2.45/bbl margin benefit for imported crude ¹²
Marine Logistics Infrastructure	Shallow coastal shelf; relies on continuous dredging, breakwaters, and private berths ² .	Sheltered natural deep-water harbor with an 18-meter draft, allowing direct Suezmax and VLCC docking ² .	Lowens demurrage costs, avoids lightering charges, and reduces maintenance dredging expenses ¹²
Geotechnical Preparation	65M m ³ of sand dredged for swamp reclamation; >120,000 concrete friction piles driven ²	Pre-zoned LAPSET terrain; consolidated coral rag and stable sands require standard civil work ² .	Avoids the multi-year civil engineering delays and cost overruns experienced during Lekki's preparation ² .
Process Water Supply	Seawater reverse-osmosis desalination plant with high power consumption.	Dedicated 200,000 m ³ /day industrial freshwater pipeline from the Tana River at Kipini ¹⁹ .	Reduces auxiliary utility operating costs, but introduces upstream river-basin resource risks ¹⁹ .

2. The Anatomy of an Emerging Corridor War

2.1 The Northern LAPSET Industrial Axis vs. the Southern Tanga Trading Bloc

In the periods preceeding the formal launch of the Lamu project, a lot of geopolitical developments, realignments, statements and alliances emerged that leads to one basic conclusion - the Lamu groundbreaking has intensified an infrastructure and trade rivalry across East Africa that could well impact on the very edicts of the establishment of the Eastern Africa Community. Already, two major blocs are emerging – what we call the Northern LAPSET Axis against the Southern Tanga Trading Bloc¹⁷. This competition goes beyond refinery operations, pitting alternative models of cross-border energy logistics and trade against one another²¹. We focus entirely on the main pillars of what could evolve into a fullscale war – where counter strategies will be a major feature as the region rushes to full oil processing status. It is a ‘do-or-die’ 5 year period that needs to be watched carefully.



Figure 3: Map of the LAPSET Corridor. Source: <http://www.lapsset.go.ke>.

2.2 The Commercial Clash of Models: Vitol vs. Dangote

This infrastructure rivalry reflects two distinct commercial strategies for controlling regional energy supply chains:

Vitol's Tanga Asset-Light Trading and Arbitrage Architecture

- The Southern Tanga Axis relies on Vitol Group's global commodity trading network, market agility, and access to capital. Generating annual revenues above \$300 billion, Vitol approaches East and Central Africa as an interconnected network of regional arbitrage opportunities and trading margins²¹.

Partnering with the Tanzania Petroleum Development Corporation (TPDC) and the Uganda National Oil Company (UNOC) under the \$20 billion Tanga Regional Energy Hub framework, Vitol avoids deploying capital into large-scale, high-risk industrial plants¹⁷.

Instead, it finances targeted logistical bottlenecks: deep-water product offloading berths, large tank farms at Tanga and Mwanza, and specialized barge logistics across Lake Victoria¹⁷. Products sourced from merchant refineries across the Persian Gulf, India, and the Mediterranean are routed to exploit import parity pricing, tariff differentials, and regional stock shortages²¹. Vitol prioritizes high asset turnover, margin protection, and trading optionality over domestic industrialization²¹.

Dangote's Lamu Integrated Industrial Manufacturing Model –

It is envisaged that Dangote will improve on his capital-intensive manufacturing strategy built on economies of scale and import substitution (extending beyond PMS to other petrochemical products²). This model requires deploying large amounts of upfront capital into physical refining, reforming, and secondary processing capacity². Through operating a 700,000 bpd refinery with integrated conversion units, Dangote aims to drive processing costs low enough to undercut product imports from abroad². The commercial viability of this model depends on operating at sustained high throughput and moving bulk volumes across the region². The goal is to capture market share across the Great Lakes basin and the Horn of Africa, replacing imported fuels with regional manufacturing output². The LAPSET architecture avails to this model the potential to penetrate further into the hinterlands – even potentially breaking through Central Africa all the way to Western Africa.

2.3 Offtake Markets Analysis - The Battle for Great Lakes PMS (Gasoline)

At the short term, the commercial focus of this contest is the Great Lakes basin - encompassing users in Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Rwanda, Burundi, and eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC)². Especially targeted are the landlocked markets which are estimated to consume 6.5 million to 8.0 million metric tons of refined petroleum products annually. Because products must travel long distances from coastal ports, inland freight costs represent a major component of landed retail fuel prices. To serve this interior market, the Vitol-TPDC-UNOC alliance is developing an intermodal logistics network along Tanzania's Central Corridor¹⁷. Fuel discharged at Tanga or Dar es Salaam is transported via Tanzania's rail network to the lake port of Mwanza¹⁷. At Mwanza, the fuel is pumped onto large petroleum barges operating on Lake Victoria and transported across the water to Jinja and Port Bell in Uganda¹⁷. By moving fuel by rail and water rather than long-distance road trucking, the Southern Axis lowers its line-haul freight costs from \$0.11–\$0.14 per ton-kilometer (standard road trucking rates) down to \$0.025–\$0.035 per ton-kilometer on the lake crossing.

It is instrumental to note here that this intermodal route was designed to capture fuel supplies into Uganda, Rwanda, and eastern DRC, bypassing Kenyan infrastructure²¹. This is consistent to the Vitol strategy that has succeeded to wean Uganda off the Kenyan supply chains.

To defend its historical transit role – and be assured of its supply chains within the Great Lakes region, Kenya must aggressively pursue a two-pronged counter-offensive to maintain its logistical edge:

Fast-tracking the 127-Kilometer Eldoret-to-Malaba Pipeline

Extension - Kenya is expanding the Kenya Pipeline Company (KPC) pipeline network from its western hub at Eldoret directly to the Malaba border crossing with Uganda²⁴. This 127-kilometer extension bypasses congested road corridors, eliminates transit delays, and reduces product loss rates to below 0.25%²⁶. Once operational, this automated pipeline will deliver bulk fuel directly to the Ugandan border at an estimated pipeline tariff of \$28.00 to \$32.00 per metric ton, undercutting intermodal rail-and-barge routes via Mwanza.

Expanding the Kisumu Oil Jetty (KOJ) - The Kisumu facility benefits from natural geographical proximity. Kisumu sits roughly 180 kilometers closer to the Ugandan ports of Port Bell and Jinja than the Tanzanian port of Mwanza.

Through deploying automated bottom-loading systems and tug-barge convoys, KOJ achieves water transport costs of \$0.020 to \$0.024 per ton-kilometer. Combined with short pipeline delivery from the coast, this allows the Northern Corridor to deliver products to Ugandan distribution centers at lower total transport costs than the Mwanza lake route.

2.4 The Geopolitical Red-Herring - Rwandan Strategic Breach

Kigali has taken a huge bet into the Lamu Refinery – for two reasons. It could be a ruse to up its bargaining in the Southern Tanga Axis or to re-balance its influence in the region leveraging the huge Lamu Refinery. In this regard, Rwanda's decision to take a 10% sovereign equity stake in the Lamu complex represents a calculated strategic realignment within the East African Community². Historically, Kigali relied on a two-corridor transport policy, balancing fuel imports between the Central Corridor (via Dar es Salaam) and the Northern Corridor (via Mombasa) to avoid becoming dependent on a single transit route²¹. However, UNOC's partnership with Vitol- which granted UNOC exclusive rights to import petroleum products into Uganda and distribute them through Vitol-controlled supply chains - threatened to isolate Rwanda²¹. Rwandan policymakers face the prospect of becoming captive consumers of a Vitol-UNOC trading venture that held significant pricing power over the Kampala-to-Kigali supply route²¹.

Taking equity in Lamu offers Rwanda pricing transparency and supply security². As a project shareholder, Rwanda secures access to refined fuel allocations priced at the refinery gate, based on underlying crude costs rather than spot-market import parity². Bulk volumes will move under bond through the Kenyan pipeline system to Kisumu or the upcoming Malaba terminal, before transferring to road tankers or lake barges for transit to Kigali²⁴. This multimodal routing secures stable, long-term fuel pricing, reducing Rwanda's exposure to intermediary trading margins across the Central Corridor²¹.

Route Segment and Economic Variable	Northern Axis: Lamu / KPC Pipeline Network	Southern Axis: Tanga / Rail / Lake Victoria Barge	Competitive Outcome
Logistics Alignment	Lamu Pipeline Eldoret/Kisumu Malaba / KOJ ²⁴	Tanga Rail Mwanza Port Lake Barges Jinja / Port Bell ¹⁷ .	Northern Axis utilizes continuous pipeline; Southern Axis relies on intermodal transshipment points ²¹ .
Pipeline Transport Tariff	~\$0.045–\$0.055 per (KPC tariff rate) ²⁶	N/A (Limited to coastal terminal piping).	Dedicated pipeline systems lower unit transport costs relative to rail transport ²⁶ .
Rail Transport Tariff	N/A (Relies on pipeline network).	~\$0.045–\$0.060 per ton-km (Tanzanian rail network).	Rail is cost-effective over land, but requires cargo offloading and reloading at lake terminals ²¹ .
Lake Water Freight	~\$0.020–\$0.024 per ton-km (Kisumu to Port Bell: ~250 km).	~\$0.028–\$0.035 per ton-km (Mwanza to Port Bell: ~360 km).	KOJ gains a cost advantage via shorter sailing distances across Lake Victoria ²⁶ .
Total Landed Cost to Kampala	\$34.00–\$38.00 per MT (Pipeline through Malaba / KOJ barge).	\$42.00–\$47.00 per MT (Rail to Mwanza, barged to Port Bell).	Northern Axis holds an ~\$8.00/MT landed netback advantage in Uganda.
Total Landed Cost to Kigali	\$72.00–\$78.00 per MT (KOJ/ Malaba transshipment + road haulage).	\$81.00–\$88.00 per MT (Mwanza lake barge to Jinja + road haulage).	Northern Axis delivers a ~\$9.00/MT cost reduction for Rwandan markets.
Supply Transit Cycle	2 to 4 days (Continuous pipeline movement + final short road/ barge leg) ²⁵ .	6 to 10 days (Dependent on rails chedules and lake transshipment).	Continuous pipeline transport improves supply security and reduces inventory financing costs ²⁵ .

2.5 The Somalia Kenya Boundary Delimitation Connection

There is another big reason why building this mega-refinery in Lamu makes so much sense: the vast, untapped oil wealth sitting right off the coast in the Indian Ocean. For years, Kenya and Somalia were locked in a bitter legal fight over a 100,000-square-kilometer stretch of sea that is believed to hold billions of barrels of high-grade oil. In late 2021, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) ruled largely in Somalia's favor. Kenya lost the case because the court decided maritime borders should be drawn along an equidistant line straight into the ocean, rather than following the latitude line Kenya had claimed for decades. On paper, it looked like a crushing blow for Kenya, which suddenly saw several prized offshore oil exploration blocks slip out of its hands.

In energy politics, however, geology doesn't care about court rulings or lines drawn on a map. The oil reservoirs deep beneath the seabed straddle both sides of the border. While Somalia now legally owns the lion's share of this territory, it simply lacks the deep-water ports, processing plants, and billions of dollars in infrastructure needed to drill and refine that oil on its own. That is where Lamu comes in. Because Lamu sits right on the doorstep of these offshore fields, it acts as a massive natural magnet. Instead of having to build their own multibillion-dollar export terminals from scratch, Somalia and regional operators now have a world-class refining hub sitting just down the coast.

This opens the door for both countries to quietly put their political grievances aside, share development costs, and pipe crude directly into Lamu.

This also solves the biggest puzzle hanging over the Lamu project: where to find 700,000 barrels of oil every single day. Inland oil fields like Kenya's South Lokichar or South Sudan's conflict-ridden reserves simply don't produce enough volume to keep a plant this massive running at full tilt. Relying purely on imported crude shipped from the Middle East works, but it eats into profits. Tapping into the deepwater reserves right off the Lamu and Somali coast provides a reliable, local source of crude oil right at the refinery's doorstep. Siting the facility in Lamu transforms what looked like a stinging diplomatic loss at the ICJ into a shared economic lifeline for the entire Horn of Africa.

3. Decoding the Launch Speeches and Subterranean Regional Tensions

The IPG has listened to all the speeches at the groundbreaking ceremony in Lamu on September 30, 2026 – and now decodes the same. While official speeches celebrated African industrialization and shared prosperity, diplomatic nuances revealed underlying economic rivalries, national industrial hedging, and realignments across the Horn and East Africa²⁹.

3.1 Kenyan Policy Strategy

For President William Ruto and his economic advisers, the Lamu groundbreaking represents a decisive vindication of the long-delayed LAPSET corridor¹⁸. Conceived in 1975 and revived under Kenya Vision 2030, the corridor struggled for years with empty berths, debt constraints, and missed development targets¹⁸. Anchoring a \$16 billion to \$20 billion private refining asset at the Port of Lamu provides an industrial anchor that justifies prior public investments in port infrastructure, access highways, and security installations². In his address, Ruto framed the refinery as a macroeconomic tool to protect foreign exchange reserves and stabilize the national currency³⁰. Kenya and its immediate neighbors spend more than \$10 billion annually in scarce foreign exchange to import refined petroleum products³. This structural dollar outflow regularly puts pressure on central bank reserves, depresses local exchange rates, and contributes to imported inflation.

Through refining products domestically within a regional trade framework, Kenya aims to settle fuel transactions in regional currencies or through central-bank clearinghouses, significantly reducing its exposure to US dollar volatility³. President Ruto also highlighted regional development goals, noting that downstream petrochemical and processing industries will establish Lamu County as a core national economic center alongside Nairobi, Mombasa, and Kisumu³⁰.

3.2 Ugandan Strategic ‘Water-Mellon’ Balance

President Yoweri Museveni’s presence alone at the groundbreaking signaled a pragmatic diplomatic balance¹¹. Museveni has spent two decades advancing Uganda’s upstream oil developments while advocating for sovereign domestic refining capacity¹⁶. His attendance highlighted the complex challenge of balancing three competing energy priorities:

Protecting Uganda’s planned 60,000 bpd refinery at Kabaale, Hoima, being developed with the UAE-based Alpha MBM Investments consortium (with Final Investment Decision targets repeatedly delayed toward 2027)³⁴.

Fulfilling sovereign commitments to the 1,443-kilometer heated EACOP crude pipeline connecting Ugandan fields to the Chongoleani marine export terminal near Tanga, Tanzania¹⁶.

Engaging with Dangote’s 700,000 bpd Lamu mega-refinery to ensure Uganda is not economically sidelined by cheaper, large-scale refined products distributed across the Great Lakes region².

3.3 Tanzanian Policy Stance

The Tanzanian presidency’s decision to avoid high-level representation at the groundbreaking – sending a very junior diplomatic delegation rather than a Presidential level representative (President Samia Suluhu Hassan who is otherwise also still observing a mourning timeline following the death of his husband) – highlighted ongoing trade and infrastructure rivalries within the EAC²⁹.

It should be recalled that before committing to Lamu, Dangote Group executives evaluated alternate sites, including the port of Tanga and Mombasa². Tanzania was uncommitted – either to the Dangote proposal – while flatly denying involvement even as Kenya and Uganda at some point actively pitched for Tanga, in the false hope to integrate refining capacity with the EACOP marine export terminal². This diplomatic stance leaves Tanzania in strategic limbo, although their move to scramble Vitol to a hastily convened MOU signing in August 2026 speaks volumes. The official record is that, negotiations stalled over regulatory issues, land allocation terms, and later broke down after Dangote’s preference for Kenya’s deeper natural harbor at Lamu, which accommodates larger crude tankers without capital dredging².

Dodoma responded to Dangote’s Kenyan deal by accelerating alternative partnerships²¹. In August 2026, Tanzania signed a trilateral memorandum of understanding with UNOC and Vitol Bahrain to develop the \$20 billion Tanga Regional Energy Hub¹⁶. This rival initiative integrates an export refinery, expanded marine bunkering, coastal product tank farms, and a reverse-flow refined products pipeline to Uganda¹⁷. Just by aligning with Vitol’s global trading operations, Tanzania is positioning the Southern Axis as an energy logistics alternative to Kenya’s Northern Axis, seeking to capture petroleum supply chains running into Rwanda, Burundi, and the eastern DRC¹⁷. It is clear that Uganda is also an active supporter for this route!

3.4 The Larger Horn of Africa Opportunity (Ethiopia and South Sudan)

The participation of Ethiopian and South Sudanese delegations reflected pressing energy security challenges across the Horn of Africa²:

Ethiopia’s Single-Corridor Exposure - With a population exceeding 125 million and an annual petroleum import bill above \$3 billion, landlocked Ethiopia is heavily dependent on fuel imports routed through the Port of Djibouti and the Doraleh terminal².

This single supply corridor leaves the Ethiopian economy exposed to transit disruptions, tariff increases, and infrastructure bottlenecks. Participating in the Lamu consortium gives Addis Ababa an alternative supply route². Under the LAPSET framework, refined fuels can move through a proposed pipeline running from Lamu north through Isiolo and Moyale directly into southern Ethiopia²⁸. This link would cut fuel transport costs to the industrial clusters in Hawassa and Addis Ababa, improving the country’s economic resilience³³.

South Sudan's Export Diversification Imperatives - South Sudan faces a severe economic challenge: ongoing civil conflict in neighboring Sudan has disrupted and damaged the Petrodar and BAPCO crude export pipelines running north to Port Sudan. These shut-ins have severely curtailed state oil revenues and compromised the country's public finances. For Juba, supporting the Lamu refinery is a strategic priority². A southern pipeline corridor running from South Sudan's oil blocks through Lokichar to Lamu would establish an export and refining alternative that bypasses the Sudanese conflict zone entirely²⁸. Furthermore, South Sudan could exchange crude feedstock for refined petroleum supplies, protecting domestic fuel access from regional pipeline disruptions².



Figure 4: Trucks moving crude oil from Turkana County to Mombasa County on June 27, 2018 during the Kenya's early oil pilot scheme.

4. 5-Year Risk Mapping and Critical Execution Path (2026–2031)

From the foregoing, the IPG has a 5-year risk mapping proposal to ensure seamless project completion. Without doubt, developing a \$16 billion to \$20 billion refinery complex in East Africa presents substantial execution, financial, and geopolitical challenges². To prevent Lamu from becoming a stranded coastal asset, project developers and regional governments must manage several critical variables over the 2026–2031 construction timeline².

4.1 Inland Evacuation Pipelines and Infrastructure Synchronization

The commercial viability of the Lamu refinery depends entirely on building cost-effective inland transport systems concurrently with the processing plant²⁸. If the complex reaches mechanical completion without dedicated product evacuation pipelines, it will be limited to cabotage shipping along the coast and localized road-tanker loading³³. Trucking cannot efficiently move products from a 700,000 bpd facility: evacuating full output via road would require more than 3,500 heavy fuel tankers departing the facility daily, which would rapidly overwhelm local highway infrastructure¹. The critical execution path requires coordinating three capital-intensive pipeline initiatives²⁸:

Lamu–Isiolo–Nairobi Multi-Product Trunk Line - An 18-to-20-inch pipeline linking the Lamu complex to the main industrial markets in Nairobi, with connections into the existing KPC network for distribution westward toward Eldoret and Kisumu²⁸.

Isiolo–Moyale–Addis Ababa Spur - A dedicated northern multi-product line designed to transport gasoline, diesel, and aviation fuel into southern and central Ethiopia²⁸.

Lamu–Lokichar Crude Pipeline (Reversed/Bi-directional) - An infrastructure link engineered to move upstream domestic crude from Turkana south to Lamu, while maintaining the capability to pump imported crude inland should regional refineries require feedstock²⁸.



Figure 5: Nigeria's Dangote breaks ground on \$16 billion East African oil refinery in Kenya

These pipeline projects require roughly \$4.5 billion to \$6.0 billion in capital investment, comprehensive environmental impact approvals across six county jurisdictions, and cross-border regulatory agreements between Nairobi, Addis Ababa, and Juba³³. Project developers face the risk of an infrastructure synchronization gap: the refinery complex could reach mechanical completion years ahead of the regional pipeline network, leaving the asset reliant on slow, expensive road transport and coastal barging.

4.2 Sovereign Cash-Call Compliance Under Debt Constraints

The proposed ownership framework - allocating 30% equity across East African governments - faces fiscal hurdles². Across the region, participating states operate under tight fiscal conditions, high debt burdens, and formal International Monetary Fund (IMF) Extended Fund Facility (EFF) and Extended Credit Facility (ECF) programs. A 10% equity share in a \$16 billion to \$20 billion facility requires each participating state to fund approximately \$1.6 billion to \$2.0 billion over a four-year construction cycle, demanding annual cash contributions of \$400 million to \$500 million². For Kenya, honoring these capital calls alongside existing debt obligations and strict deficit limits will test budget execution². For Ethiopia, which is reforming its foreign exchange regime while managing external debt restructuring under the G20 Common Framework, mobilizing hard currency for industrial equity calls presents an even greater hurdle.

If regional governments fail to meet scheduled capital calls, the consortium faces two fallback options:

Dangote Industries absorbs the shortfall, which would dilute regional government equity below target thresholds and shift the venture back toward private ownership, echoing the ownership consolidation seen at Lekki⁴.

Consortium partners take on expensive commercial debt to bridge equity contributions, increasing financial leverage and raising the project's overall debt-service costs.

4.3 Legal Injunctions, Land Rights, and Water Resource Disputes

The project faces immediate domestic legal and social challenges². On the eve of the groundbreaking ceremony, the Environment and Land Court in Malindi issued temporary injunctions halting ground preparation, site clearing, and construction across occupied portions of the designated project area in the Hindi and Manda Magogoni districts of Lamu County². The legal challenge, led by local residents alongside advocacy groups such as Save Lamu, centers on historic land titles, ancestral ownership claims, inadequate public consultation, and delays in distributing resettlement compensation².

At the same time, environmental groups are challenging the project's utility footprint³⁸. A primary environmental issue is the plan to abstract 200,000 cubic meters of freshwater daily from the lower Tana River basin at Kipini¹⁹. The Tana River Delta is a Ramsar-designated wetland supporting pastoralist Orma and agricultural Pokomo communities¹⁹.

Downstream abstractions of this magnitude, particularly during dry seasons, risk reducing river flow, accelerating saltwater intrusion into coastal aquifers, and disrupting local irrigation networks²⁰. Failure to resolve these land and water disputes through negotiated community compensation and binding environmental frameworks could lead to extended court injunctions, halting construction and driving up financing costs².

4.4 Asymmetric Security Threats and Border Operations

The project's proximity to southern Somalia and unstable border areas exposes the complex to persistent asymmetric security risks⁴²:

Insurgent Networks in the Boni Forest: Lamu County borders the expansive Boni Forest, an established operating redoubt for the Al-Shabaab militant group⁴³. Historically, militants have exploited the dense forest canopy to launch ambushes, deploy Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) along the Lamu–Garsen road, and attack infrastructure workers and security patrols⁴⁴.

Protecting extensive linear infrastructure - including pipeline networks, high-voltage power lines, and transport corridors - requires significant military resources³³. An insecure corridor would increase security costs and make transport operators hesitant to service the facility.

Maritime and Offshore Berth Security: Operating offshore Single Point Mooring (SPM) buoys and welcoming regular VLCC tanker traffic in Manda Bay and Ungwana Bay introduces maritime security vulnerabilities². Security along these waters is closely tied to political stability across southern Somalia and the autonomous Jubaland administration centered in Kismayo⁴². Instability along the Somali coastline could create openings for maritime attacks, piracy, or waterborne IEDs targeting commercial shipping. Kenya will need to maintain a continuous naval and coast guard security umbrella around Lamu's offshore oil approaches to prevent insurers from imposing high war-risk insurance premiums on incoming tankers.

4.5 Long-Term Demand Trajectories and Petrochemical Hedging

The refinery is scheduled to begin commercial operations in 2031, a timeline that intersects directly with global and regional energy transition milestones². Long-term demand projections for East Africa must balance two conflicting trends:

Accelerating Regional Clean Energy and Electrification - East Africa is a continental leader in renewable power generation¹⁵. Kenya's electricity grid already operates on over 85% renewable generation (geothermal, hydro, and wind), while Ethiopia generates massive power surpluses via the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD).

Across the region, governments are implementing electric mobility policies to reduce reliance on imported

In the light urban transport sector (two- and three-wheelers, along with municipal bus fleets), rapid electrification could begin dampening per-capita growth in gasoline consumption across major metropolitan markets by the early 2030s.

Demographic Growth and Industrial Petrochemicals - Conversely, overall petroleum demand across East Africa and the Horn is underpinned by demographic growth and industrial expansion³¹. With the regional population projected to surpass 350 million by 2035, demand for commercial transport fuels, aviation kerosene, and heavy industrial diesel will continue expanding, even as urban passenger fleets adopt electric drive systems¹⁵.

The refinery's primary hedge against transition risks lies in its integrated downstream petrochemical complex¹. By converting roughly 25% to 30% of its heavy atmospheric distillation output into chemical feedstocks, the complex insulates itself from fluctuations in road-fuel demand¹:

Polypropylene (PP) - Designed to produce 1.8 million metric tons annually of polymer-grade polypropylene, providing essential packaging materials for East Africa's expanding agricultural and fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) sectors.

Linear Alkyl Benzene (LAB) - Supplies raw materials for synthetic detergents, serving consumer goods manufacturers across Africa.

Urea and Nitrogenous Fertilizers - Supplies agricultural inputs directly into regional farming economies, helping offset the multi-billion-dollar foreign exchange cost of imported European and Middle Eastern fertilizers¹⁵.

This integrated chemical slate shifts the refinery from a simple fuel provider to an industrial supplier of basic manufacturing and agricultural inputs¹⁵. Through linking plant output to fundamental industrialization, food security, and packaging needs, Lamu builds resilience against long-term declines in traditional transport fuel demand¹⁵.

But this project that started as a joke will need unyielding political will, billions in synchronized pipeline capital, top notch security infrastructure and steady cross-border diplomacy to ensure that East Africa's boldest industrial dream does not end up as an expensive white elephant on the Indian Ocean shore.

5. Conclusion - Strategic Synthesis and Policy Imperatives

The development of the Dangote East Africa Petroleum Refinery in Lamu represents an important structural shift in the continent's downstream energy landscape¹. If completed as planned, this 700,000 bpd complex will alter regional fuel logistics, moving the center of East African downstream trade from trading houses and coastal depots toward large-scale industrial refining and integrated manufacturing corridors².

The Lamu project incorporates valuable operational lessons from the Lekki facility in Lagos⁴:

Replacing an adversarial domestic state dynamic with a shared, multilateral sovereign equity consortium aligns host-government incentives and opens up captive export markets across borders².

Using Lamu's natural 18-meter marine draft and advantageous Indian Ocean shipping routes lowers raw feedstock freight costs relative to Atlantic Basin alternatives². Establishing early petrochemical integration ensures the project is cushioned against the long-term energy transition and changing demand for transport fuels¹.

However, these structural and geographical advantages can only be realized if execution risks are carefully managed². The capital required for the refinery (\$16 billion to \$20 billion) must be matched by coordinated investments in cross-border transport systems²:

5.1 Recommendations

1. The Lamu–Isiolo–Nairobi pipeline and its northern extension to Addis Ababa must be constructed alongside the plant itself to avoid costly inland distribution bottlenecks²⁸.
2. Sovereign equity partners must fulfill their financial commitments without destabilizing national debt profiles or breaching multilateral fiscal targets².

3. Project developers and government bodies must work collaboratively with local communities to resolve land disputes and environmental concerns in the Tana River Delta through transparent compensation and water-management agreements².
4. Kenya must provide comprehensive security guarantees across the Boni Forest corridor and coastal waters to maintain reliable operations⁴².

If regional governments and project sponsors synchronize their policy support and manage these critical variables, the Lamu complex will become the primary industrial anchor of the LAPSET corridor¹⁸. In doing so, it will establish a competitive downstream energy axis capable of altering trade balances, enhancing regional fuel security, and driving long-term industrialization across East Africa and the Horn².

6. References

Works cited

1. First cargo of 2,930 tonnes of machinery arrives Kenyan port as Dangote begins work on East Africa's largest refinery, <https://africa.businessinsider.com/local/markets/first-cargo-of-2930-tonnes-of-machinery-arrives-kenyan-port-as-dangote-begins-work-on/txdj7ok>
2. Lamu Oil Refinery - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lamu_Oil_Refinery
3. Dangote's \$17 billion East African refinery is set to break ... - Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/businessinsiderssa/posts/dangotes-17-billion-east-african-refinery-is-set-to-break-ground-in-lamu-kenya-o/1122884396965170/>
4. NNPC Stake In Dangote Refinery Now 7.2% - Channels Television, <https://www.channelstv.com/2024/07/14/nnpc-stake-in-dangote-refinery-now-7-2-not-20-dangote/>
5. Dangote's refinery goes public, and so does his ambition | Article, <https://www.africa-confidential.com/article/id/16166/dangotes-refinery-goes-public--and-so-does-his-ambition>
6. NNPC Pays 60% Of \$1.036 Billion Loan To Buy Stake In Dangote, <https://bizwatchnigeria.ng/nnpc-pays-60-of-1-036-billion-loan-to-buy-stake-in-dangote-refinery/>
7. Dangote Accuses Marketers, IOCs of Fueling Protests Over \$16bn, <https://www.petroleumprice.ng/news/articles/dangote-accuses-marketers-iocs-of-fueling-protests-over-16bn-lamu-refinery>
8. Aminulive's Posts - Nairaland Forum, <https://www.nairaland.com/aminulive/posts>
9. Tinubu Directs NNPC to Commence Crude Oil Sale to Dangote, <https://download.thisdaylive.com/editions/2024/07/30.pdf>
10. LAPSET Corridor Development Authority's Lamu Refinery, <https://www.facebook.com/LAPSETProgram/posts/the-countdown-to-a-historic-milestone-begintoday-the-lapsset-corridor-developme/1510328431129526/>
11. Government insists the Sh2.2 trillion Lamu oil refinery by Dangote, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=512haTzJvLA>
12. Argus Tanker Freight methodology, <https://www.argusmedia.com/-/media/Files/methodology/argus-tanker-freight.ashx>
13. Specifications Guide Global Freight, https://www.spglobal.com/content/dam/spglobal/ci/en/documents/platts/en/our-methodology/methodology-specifications/global_freight.pdf
14. Preamble 2023 | PDF | United States Dollar | Canal, <https://www.scribd.com/document/640400465/preamble-2023>
15. Pipeline - PSECC Ltd, <https://www.lapssethorizon.com/pipe-line/>
16. Three mega-projects backed by Dangote, Turkish investors put \$40, <https://africa.businessinsider.com/local/markets/three-mega-projects-backed-by-dangote-turkish-investors-put-dollar40bn-behind-east/yzfxmxi>
17. Tanga Oil Refinery - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tanga_Oil_Refinery
18. Lamu Port and Lamu–Southern Sudan–Ethiopia Transport Corridor, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lamu_Port_and_Lamu%E2%80%93Southern_Sudan%E2%80%93Ethiopia_Transport_Corridor
19. Land use practices and their impacts on wise use and, <https://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/bitstreams/a4ac2b6e-e4a4-4c88-8450-f7d6e997ae7f/download>
20. The Changing Lifestyle of the Tana River Delta Communities in, <https://www.scribd.com/document/2020102315515991.pdf>
21. Tanzania, Uganda Sign \$20bn Tanga Energy Hub Deal With Vitol, <https://uchumi360.com/c/energy-critical-minerals/tanzania-uganda-vitol-sign>
22. Tanzania, Uganda, Vitol advance plans for US \$20Bn Tanga, <https://pumps-africa.com/tanzania-uganda-vitol-advance-plans-for-us-20bn-tanga-regional-energy-hub/>
23. Vitol Bahrain Inks MoU with Tanzania Petroleum and Uganda Oil to, <https://gbc1.net/index.php/2026/08/07/vitol-bahrain-inks-mou-with-tanzania-petroleum-and-uganda-oil-to-build-20b-tanga-refinery/>
24. East Africa Transportation Cost Analysis | PDF | Rwanda - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/317763091/Comparative-Transportation-Cost-Analysis-in-East-Africa>
25. Export Preview - Digital Logistics Capacity Assessment1s - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/995657198/Export-Preview-Digital-Logistics-Capacity-Assessment1s>
26. Transport Cost Study: Northern Corridor | PDF - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/405060758/Analytical-Comparative-Transport-Cost-Study-on-the-Northern-Corridor-1-pdf>

27. Unoc monopoly leaves \$270m oil logistics company on knife edge, <https://theradiocommunity.org/unoc-monopoly-leaves-270m-oil-logistics-company-on-knife-edge>
28. The LAPSET Project in Kenya – Dr. Benard Lango - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Benard-Lango/publication/335096616_Know_the_Mega_Projects_in_Kenya_Series_-_LAPSET_by_Dr_Benard_Lango/links/5d4e7a8b299bf1995b73767e/Know-the-Mega-Projects-in-Kenya-Series-LAPSET-by-Dr-Benard-Lango.pdf
29. East Africa breaks ground on Dangote's USD 16-billion Lamu refinery, <https://www.indiatoday.in/world/story/dangote-lamu-refinery-five-african-leaders-launch-kenya-oil-project-ptag-3006626-2026-09-30>
30. Dangote says Kenya refinery to double Nigerian plant capacity, <https://guardian.ng/news/dangote-says-kenya-refinery-to-double-nigerian-plant-capacity/>
31. Nigerian billionaire eyes US investors ahead of groundbreaking for \$16B Kenya refinery, <https://apnews.com/article/kenya-dangote-lamu-refinery-a349155eae5beb15ab17813ae835ba83>
32. President Ruto & Aliko Dangote Launch Lamu Oil Refinery Project, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c5xKXz29xSo>
33. The LAPSET Project(Lamu Port-Southern Sudan-Ethiopia Transport), <https://dezynbild.com/blog/the-lapsset-projectlamu-port-southern-sudan-ethiopia-transport>
34. Uganda signs \$4bn oil refinery deal with UAE's Alpha MBM, <https://www.intellinews.com/uganda-signs-4bn-oil-refinery-deal-with-uae-s-alpha-mbm-investments-397383/>
35. Uganda expects oil refinery final investment decision in February, <https://www.cnbcafrica.com/2026/uganda-expects-oil-refinery-final-investment-decision-in-february>
36. Final Report Volume One, <https://nema.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/SEA-037-Final-SEA-Report-MMW-min.pdf>
37. Tanzania, Uganda sign Vitol deal for Tanga energy hub that could, <https://www.intellinews.com/tanzania-uganda-sign-vitol-deal-for-tanga-energy-hub-that-could-draw-over-20bn-460259/>
38. Dangote blames marketers, IOCs for Lamu refinery protests, <https://punchng.com/dangote-blames-marketers-iocs-for-lamu-refinery-protests/>
39. Kenyan court halts work on Dangote refinery in Lamu, <https://punchng.com/kenyan-court-halts-dangote-refinery-work-as-firm-says-groundbreaking-holds/>
40. Lamu County Biocultural Community Protocol | PDF - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/781376323/Lamu-County-BCP-2018>
41. Tana River County COVID-19 Social Economic Re, <https://repository.kippira.or.ke/bitstreams/afo79cb3-7bb7-4538-b3b3-250798649043/download>
42. Jubaland in Jeopardy: The Uneasy Path to State-Building in Somalia, <https://www.crisisgroup.org/cmt/africa/somalia/jubaland-jeopardy-uneasy-path-state-building-somalia>

Authors

Prepared by: Geoffrey Omedo, PhD Technical Specialist (Energy & ClimateFinance), Kenneth Orendo, PhD (Expert in Health Policy and Chief executive Officer of the International Policy Group

With contributions from: Dennis Munene Mwaniki, PhD (Expert in Development Economics) Director of Research at the International Policy Group

About IPG

The International Policy Group is an independent and non-partisan think tank whose work informs policy through rigorous research, open discussion and fresh thinking.

We do this by undertaking high quality research, providing analysis of the key challenges related to governance, security, foreign relations and making compelling recommendations for change.

For more information visit
www.internationalpolicygroup.com